IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 21645 of 2004

Between:

P. Kanoz, S/o. Satyanarayana Murthy (Late),
A.P. Residential Junior College, Thatipudi, Vizianagaram District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Secretary, A.P. Residential Educational Institutions Society,
III Floor, Gagan Vihar, Nampally, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issued a Writ in the nature of Certiorari or any other appropriate Writ, order or direction by calling for the records relating to the proceedings of the respondent bearing Rc.No.59/C1-1/A2-2/1998-2003, dt. 18-10-2004 and quash the same by declaring the same as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondent to communicate the final seniority list of Junior Lecturer working in A.P. Residential Junior Colleges by preparing subject wise as per the proceedings of the respondent bearing Rc.No. 3485/C1-1-/90-91, dt. 18-4-1991 on considering the objections submitted by the petitioner, by showing the names of the all the Junior Lecturers whose names are included in the earlier seniority list dt. 18-4-91, which as set aside by this Hon'ble Court at appropriate places, and confer all benefits to the petitioner based on such final seniority list of Junior Lecturers,

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.GOPAL RAO

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.VIJAYA BHASKAR MOOLA

The Court made the following :

ORAL ORDER:

The petitioner has filed this writ petition challenging the Proceedings Rc.No.59/C1-1/A2-2/1998-2003, dated 18.10.2004 issued by the respondent.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned standing counsel for the respondent.

The respondent filed a detailed counter affidavit refuting various contentions raised in the writ petition.

It is unnecessary to mention all the details of the pleadings because the impugned proceedings would only go to show that a common seniority list as per the recommendations of the Anomalies Committee has been prepared and the same was forwarded to all the Principals of the respondent-institutions so as to communicate the same to the Junior Lecturers under their control with instructions to submit their grievances if any. So, the common seniority list prepared by the respondent has not become final. It is only calling for the information from the Junior Lecturers about their grievances if any with regard to the seniority list. Therefore, the impugned order cannot give any right to the petitioner to challenge the same.

The learned standing counsel for the respondent has brought to the

notice of this Court that the grievances of the Junior Lecturers have already been called for and the same shall have to be considered by the respondent in accordance with the service rules governing the service conditions of the petitioner. The learned standing counsel has also submitted that the grievances of the petitioner will be placed before the Anomalies Committee at its next meeting to examine the matter.

Recording the submission made by the learned standing counsel for the respondent, the writ petition is disposed of to the extent indicated above. In the circumstances of the case, there shall be no order as to costs.

_____

(K.C. BHANU, J.)

18th January, 2005

To

1. The Secretary, A.P. Residential Educational Institutions Society,
III Floor, Gagan Vihar, Nampally, Hyderabad.

2. Two C.D. copies.

Note: Furnish copy by two days.

(b/o)

bcj